

**City of Carpinteria
City Council Minutes
Regular Meeting
Council Chambers
Monday, May 9, 2011**

CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 4:08 by Mayor Al Clark.

Councilmember Carty, Vice Mayor Stein, and Mayor Carty were present.

Mayor Clark announced that the Council would temporarily adjourn to Closed Session for discussion of the following items.

TEMPORARY ADJOURNMENT TO CLOSED SESSION

3. Conference with Real Property Negotiators – Government Code Section 54956.8

Property Owner: City of Carpinteria

Property: Seaside building located at 5103 Carpinteria Avenue; APN 004-047-001

Agency Negotiators: Dave Durlinger, City Manager; Peter Brown City Attorney; Alexandra Barnhill, Assistant City Attorney; Matt Roberts, Parks and Recreation Director; and Kevin Silk, Assistant to City Manager

Negotiating Parties: Friends of the Carpinteria Library

Under Negotiation: Price and terms of payment of lease agreement

Councilmembers Reddington and Armendariz were absent during Closed Session Item No. 3.

Councilmember Reddington arrived at 4:25 p.m.

1. Conference with Labor Negotiator – Government Code Section 54957.6

City Negotiators: David Durlinger, City Manager; Arlene Balmadrid, Human Resources Director; and Lauren Liebes, Attorney

Employee Organization: Service Employees International Union (SEIU) Local 620

Councilmember Armendariz arrived at 4:35 p.m.

2. Conference with Real Property Negotiators – Government Code Section 54956.8

Property: A portion of the property (.7 acre) located north of the railroad tracks, west of City Parking Lot No. 3; APN 004-105-15

Property Owner: Union Pacific Railroad

Agency Negotiators: Dave Durlinger, City Manager; Peter Brown City Attorney; Alexandra Barnhill, Assistant City Attorney; and Matt Roberts, Parks and Recreation Director

Negotiating Parties: Union Pacific Railroad

Under Negotiation: Price and terms of payment

Vice Mayor Stein recused himself and was not present during Closed Session Item No. 2.

No reportable actions were taken during Closed Session.

RECONVENE IN OPEN SESSION

At 5:30 p.m. Mayor Clark announced that the City Council was reconvening from a Closed Session.

City Attorney Peter Brown announced that the City Council met in Closed Session for the purpose of discussion of the three items listed above.

No reportable actions were taken during Closed Session.

ROLL CALL

Councilmembers present:

- Councilmember Carty
- Councilmember Reddington
- Councilmember Armendariz
- Vice Mayor Stein
- Mayor Clark

Staff members present:

- Dave Durlinger, City Manager
- Peter Brown, City Attorney
- Fidela Garcia, City Clerk
- Charlie Ebeling, Public Works Director
- Dylan Paul, Management Analyst
- Matt Roberts, Parks & Recreation Director
- Erin Maker, Environmental Coordinator

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

All present were led in the salute to the flag by Mayor Clark.

INTRODUCTIONS, PROCLAMATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

1. Presentation by Carpinteria Creek Watershed Coalition Representative on its 10 Year Anniversary.

Mayor Clark presented a Mayoral Recognition to Mauricio Gomez, Director of South Coast Habitat Restoration and Chair of the Carpinteria Creek Watershed Coalition, in recognition of Carpinteria Creek Watershed Coalition's 10 Year Anniversary. Mr. Gomez expressed his appreciation and provided a PowerPoint presentation with an overview of the Coalition's efforts in working to conserve and restore Carpinteria Creek. He invited everyone to an event on May 14 at 1:00 p.m. at the creek and he presented a depiction of two new welcome kiosks signs.

PUBLIC INFORMATION REPORTS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS: None

CITY MANAGER'S REPORT

- County Supervisory Redistricting Meeting on May 16 from 6:00 to 9:00 p.m. at City Hall.
- County Supervisory Redistricting Meeting on May 18 from 3:00 to 6:00 p.m. at the County Board of Supervisors Hearing Room.
- Smoking ordinance regulations restricting public smoking areas in effect on May 16.
- Government Access Channel 18 will be off the air for maintenance on May 10 from midnight to 6:00 a.m.

PRESENTATIONS BY CITIZENS/PUBLIC COMMENT

Pat Kistler, Carpinteria Valley Chamber of Commerce, announced that the Chamber and the Workforce Investment Act would sponsor a free career opportunity for all students in the Carpinteria area ages 14 through 21 on May 17 and June 1 from 4:00 to 7:00 p.m. at the Main Auditorium where students will receive a presentation on workforce dress, behavior, filling out a job application, and how to get through an interview. She noted that food was being donated through local restaurants and that there would be a drawing for an iPod Nano. She also announced that the Chamber would provide presentations how to control diabetes and cholesterol the natural way on May 20 at Curious Cup and that the Chamber would hold its after-hours mixer on May 25 at the community pool.

AGENDA MODIFICATIONS: None

CONSENT CALENDAR

Vice Mayor Stein noted a correction to Resolution No. 5313, Page 3, which should indicate the *old roller hockey rink scoreboard* rather than *pool scoreboard*.

Motion by Vice Mayor Stein, seconded by Councilmember Reddington, to approve the Consent Calendar with the correction to Resolution No. 5313 and to adopt Resolutions by title only.

A voice vote was taken and the motion carried unanimously.

2. Expenditures for the Period Ending May 4, 2011.
3. Resolution No. 5313 entitled, A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF CARPINTERIA CITY COUNCIL DECLARING CERTAIN EQUIPMENT AS SUPRLUS ASSETS and authorizing disposal.

ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS: None

PUBLIC HEARINGS: None

OTHER BUSINESS:

4. Fee Change: Parking Facilities Development Impact Fee Program

Recommendation: Adopt Resolution No. 5314 updating the Parking Facilities Development Impact Fee Program.

Charles Ebeling, Public Works Director/City Engineer/Traffic Engineer, and Dylan Paul, Management Analyst, presented the staff report.

Pat Kistler commended the City Council for considering the reduction in the Development Impact Fee.

Brandon Morse stated that he believed that at the previous meeting there was a comment from a nonprofit organization that wanted to open a facility in the downtown that would need 30 parking spaces. He inquired whether there would be any way for nonprofit organizations to be charged a lower fee with the understanding that they would have a positive impact on the community to offset those fees. Councilmember Carty responded that the City Council would need to consider each proposal as presented and make a decision accordingly. Vice Mayor Stein added that this would be done through the planning process on a case-by-case basis.

Motion by Vice Mayor Stein, seconded by Councilmember Carty, to adopt Resolution No. 5314 entitled, A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF CARPINTERIA CITY COUNCIL TEMPORARILY REDUCING THE CITY'S PARKING FACILITIES DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEE.

City Attorney Peter Brown noted that the City Council would be making a decision to reduce fees on a policy basis and that it was designed to encourage economic activity in the downtown. He also noted that the City was not taking any action at the moment to formally revise the Development Impact Fee program or any of the studies done to create the program, and that the nexus for the fee would remain intact.

A voice vote was taken and the motion carried unanimously.

5. Consider Current Practices of the City for Pesticide Use in City Parks

Recommendation: Direct staff as determined appropriate.

Matt Roberts, Parks & Recreation Director, presented the staff report. He responded to a question regarding whether Linden Fields was considered a regular sports fields by stating that the State Parks Department had disallowed organized sports at this location. He further responded to a question regarding the City not having a formal Integrated Pest Management (IPM) policy and whether signage and public warning would be part of an IPM by stating that an IPM policy could include anything the City Council desires. He noted that Trish Stone-Damon provided him a copy of Santa Barbara's IPM and that he reviewed the Carpinteria School District's IPM. He further noted that an IPM could include how notice is given regarding applications in fields and that signage could be customized accordingly.

Trish Stone-Damon stated that Carpinteria was a small close knit community and children grow up and play sports together. She further stated that the City Council and public employees had an obligation to make decisions in the best interests of the residents. She commended City staff for efforts taken toward greener IPMs; however, she stated that the staff report did not promote the highest quality of life for Carpinterians and children. She indicated that 24D was highly toxic and was linked to a number of health effects; that the highest standards were inapplicable at Linden Field; that clover has received a bad reputation in the past few decades and has become a target of parks and homes everywhere; that she grew up around clover; that clover would not outcompete a healthy turf; that grass was aggressive and would outcompete clover; that clover brings nitrogen into nitrogen-deficient grass; and that the best organic way to control clover was to properly care for the lawn, mow high, leave clippings as a resource for nitrogen, and water deep so that strong grass is maintained. She provided a copy of a report from the City of San Francisco who implemented a compost tea program on sports turf and parks that saved money and required no pesticides. She stated that white clover does not mound or cause tripping hazards; that it is not evasive as described in the report; that it could be easily controlled when persistent in spring

and summer if its flowers are mowed regularly to prevent seeds from spreading; that white clover is pollinated by bees; and that the City was using toxic herbicides to rid lawns of white clover, poisoning children at the same time, and also eradicating bees and causing colony collapse disorder. She suggested that instead of worrying over the low risk of a bee sting that the City should be concerned about higher health risks. She commented on the City's use of pesticides for gopher management because gopher traps were often stolen and noted that best practices dictated that the use of traps was the most effective and safest way to control gophers. She expressed concern with secondary poisoning of non-targeted animals including birds, bobcats, hawks, dogs, owls, and coyotes. She stated that the staff report indicated that City staff participates in the County IPM working group; however, the City representative attended fewer than four quarterly meetings in the past four years. She commented that the report indicated that the material sprayed on Linden Field would have dried by 3:00 p.m.; however, it did not appear that any City staff or the contractor went to the site at 3:00 p.m. to check the condition and warn if needed. She stated that staff chose a day to spray prior to a day predicted of rain and that staff chose not to address this in the report. She further stated that the staff report did not address staff training on IPM practices, community education, pesticide education, and how the City would safeguard children against health effects. She stated that she provided copies of model IPMs from other municipalities and she questioned staff's request for allocation of funds. She suggested that the City consider adopting Santa Barbara's IPM policy in its entirety by the first Council meeting in July and also approve the formation of an IPM Advisory Committee to provide guidance and oversight. She suggested that the committee be made up of City staff, an IPM practitioner, an IPM expert, a sustainable landscaper, and an environmental representative. She submitted a packet of studies and resources for the City Council and City staff to consider.

Greg Tiddick stated that he has been involved in Santa Barbara's IPM program since 2003; that he co-wrote the policy with the Parks Director; and that he was the current Chair of the IPM Committee. He mentioned that Santa Barbara uses traps to manage gophers and rats. He noted that one of the goals of having an IPM policy and strategy was not only to reduce pesticide use in the city parks but to show the community by example how to maintain grass. He further noted that some elements of an IPM policy are to create transparency; provide an annual report to the City Council; demonstrate the level of pesticide use; formation of an oversight committee that brings in expertise from the community; implement criteria for use of where and when pesticides may be used; report toxicity levels; and implement signage requirements. He stated that the overriding goal was to reduce risk, protect children, and protect the City's assets, and he encouraged the City Council to implement a written policy with specific criteria, transparency, and an oversight committee. Councilmember Reddington inquired how cost effective was the IPM policy for Santa Barbara and whether any studies had been done. Mr. Tiddick suggested that she contact the Assistant Director of the Parks Department, and stated that general weeding was one of the major issues with maintaining the parks and that it takes additional manpower which costs more. He noted that at this time the Parks Department was under the tightest budget; however, it

was going strong with its IPM program. Councilmember Reddington inquired whether Santa Barbara installed any pedestals or poles for hawks and falcons where they can sit and catch gophers. Mr. Tiddick responded that they have not installed any poles because there were a number of trees available and that they have used owl boxes.

Mike Gonella, Santa Barbara resident, stated that he had a background in sustainable landscaping and horticulture. He noted that his daughter plays team soccer on the fields. He stated that it was everyone's responsibility to consider the long-term effects when it comes to use of any use of chemical, toxin, herbicide, or pesticide. He expressed concern with his daughter's reproductive health from her exposure to chemicals and environmental mutagenics. He also expressed concern with the use of 24D and noted that this was a major component of Agent Orange. He indicated that he was aware of many options that could be used such as compost tea. He stated that an IPM policy could be written where the City would no longer use red chemicals and only use green and yellow chemicals and procedures. He noted that Roundup was a lower toxicity chemical and could stay on the ground for up to 172 days.

Ben Piddery, Watershed Program Director with Santa Barbara Channel Keeper, expressed his support for the concepts proposed and for the adoption of an IPM policy and formation of an IPM Advisory Committee. He noted that it would make sense for water quality reasons; that the City was already implementing many ideas of an IPM program; and that adoption of an IPM plan would provide consistency amongst departments. He suggested that the City could educate the community regarding the benefits of IPMs. He stated that when he became aware of the recent spraying at Linden Fields that it occurred to him that they were spraying shortly before rainfall and there was concern that the residual would be transported to creeks and streams. He suggested that it would be helpful to include wet weather conditions as an element in the IPM proposal.

Holly Loheis stated that she was a Marine Biologist with Jean Michel Cousteau who resides in Santa Barbara and who represents ocean conservation and stewardship. She further stated that she was a part of the Carpinteria community and that her son was part of the public school system. She noted that as part of a PBS special on killer whales that her son was tested for organic pollutants where they looked at pesticides and chemicals in everyday homes, and that the outcome was that her son was very polluted. She stated that the pollutants bio-magnify and move up the food chain and what they were seeing was that many whales and polar bears were highly contaminated with chemicals. She encouraged the City Council to adopt the measures being used in the neighboring community and to consider the future of the children.

Miguel Checca, 1085 Kramer Road, stated that he has been concerned with the interconnection of business, biology and sustainability. He noted that in April 2000 the American Planning Association put out a policy guide for planning for sustainability and that the American Planning Association referred to four non-negotiable objects for sustainability. He further noted that one object was to reduce dependence on chemicals and other manufactured substances that could accumulate in nature because

if they are unchecked they would eventually cause concentrations to reach unknown limits and cause irreversible changes for human health and the environment. He suggested that when the City Council adopts an IPM policy that it also adopt best practices and become a model for the community.

Josie Gonella and Ineka Damen, soccer players on Viola Field, stated that they were concerned with toxic poisons in the playing fields. They noted that the field has lots of clover and bees; however the bees never get in their way and they do not trip over the clover. They questioned the spraying of toxins on the fields if the bees and clover were not bothering them, and they stated that they believed their health was more important than not having clover and bees.

Brandon Morse stated that he regularly takes his son to Linden Field and other parks in the area and that he had some experience with organic gardening. He noted that he was the farm coordinator for his Ward in Hawaii and they never had to use chemical pesticides. He mentioned that members of his Ward volunteered 300 hours in Santa Barbara at several of the schools, the Santa Barbara Zoo, and other locations to hand pull weeds, help with mulch, and use other sustainable techniques. He stated that if a city as large as Santa Barbara was able to integrate programs that he believed a city such as Carpinteria should not have an issue. He further stated that it appeared there were oversights made with the spraying at Linden Field. He suggested that if the City were to have a committee to help with pest management procedures that it could help minimize oversights. He stated that there were many alternatives to using toxic chemicals such as educating the community on leaving the gopher traps. He noted that restaurants post signs that they use chemicals that could cause birth defects and suggested that the same type of sign should be posted at parks that use chemicals. He encouraged the City Council to implement an IPM as an official policy and the formation of an IPM committee.

Mayor Clark stated that he heard that having an IPM policy would set a good example and he inquired what the City could do for private use. Environmental Coordinator Erin Maker responded that one of the things done through the Watershed Management Program and Stormwater Management Program was to encourage people to properly use pesticides and herbicides and to encourage the reduction of these uses. She noted that one of the common problems was people use chemicals when they are not needed. She also noted that the City had educational material available to the public regarding the uses that the City encourages.

Councilmember Armendariz responded to Ms. Stone-Damon's comments and inquired whether she had met with Mr. Roberts, Director of Parks and Recreation, to discuss this issue. Ms. Stone-Damon responded that she had. Councilmember Armendariz commented that it appeared she did not support what Mr. Roberts wrote in his report. Ms. Stone-Damon responded that she pointed out the issues she had with the report. Councilmember Armendariz stated that from his perspective there appeared to be a substantial discrepancy on the different techniques with respect to health and public safety. He suggested that before the City Council makes any judgment that he would

prefer that Mr. Roberts digest the information that was presented then come back to the City Council and present additional analysis.

Vice Mayor Stein concurred with Councilmember Armendariz that Mr. Roberts review the material received and come back to the City Council in two or four weeks. He stated that he would like to see an IPM policy that could be provided to the Parks Department and to the contractors that the City hires. He further stated that he was uncertain whether the City had the manpower to have a committee.

Councilmember Carty concurred with Vice Mayor Stein and expressed his support with reducing chemical use if not eliminating it. He stated that he supported an IPM policy and expressed concern that it would be difficult to form a committee.

Councilmember Reddington stated that formation of a committee was an excellent idea for transparency and oversight for public health and safety. She expressed that she believed it to be an immediate necessity and that current practices were arcane with not using green chemistry to better the community. She stated that they could not continue to poison future generations and nature because it is less expensive and takes less time. She further stated that she wanted to see the formation of an IPM Advisory Committee and a policy as soon as possible, and that she was disappointed that the City Councilmembers wanted to delay this.

Councilmember Carty clarified that he used the term "long-term" and that he should have said as soon as possible. Vice Mayor Stein stated that he did not believe he said to delay this and that he believed this should be done as quickly as could be done. Councilmember Reddington stated that she wanted this to come back at the next Council meeting as quickly as possible, and that the City Council consider adopting an IPM policy and formation of an IPM Advisory Committee.

Mayor Clark expressed his support for reducing or eliminating chemical use in the parks. He stated that he believed a policy would help set a good example for the community and that the City should proceed with that. He further stated that it appeared that the majority of the City Council did not support formation of committee. Councilmember Reddington responded that she did not believe it would be difficult to have five or six people to serve on this committee. Mayor Clark stated that he believed that it would be good to have the expertise of a committee; however, it did not appear to have the majority Council support. Councilmember Reddington responded that based on the speakers' comments that she believed the City Council could form an adequate IPM Advisory Committee.

Councilmember Armendariz stated that his concern was regarding process. He further stated that it was reasonable to ask staff to engage in dialog before the City Council is placed in the position of making a formal decision.

Parks & Recreation Director Roberts suggested coming back to formulate a plan to create a draft IPM policy. He stated that he welcomed the input from the community. He further stated that there was some time as the parks would be sprayed with Roundup in some perimeter areas and that no other herbicide application would take place until next spring. He noted that this was the time of year when the Parks Department gears up for summer time which would involve the hiring of 40 to 50 seasonal employees for the Junior Lifeguard Program. He requested that staff bring this item back in the summer in order to allow him time to explore Santa Barbara's IPM policy and other model policies.

Councilmember Reddington encouraged the public to contact Mr. Roberts if they were interested in working with the IPM policy and putting themselves forward to perhaps persuade the City Council and the general public to form an advisory committee on a long term basis. Councilmember Carty encouraged everyone to curb the use of pesticides.

The City Council recessed to a break at 7:27 p.m. and reconvened at 7:32 p.m.

6. Change in Service Level Related to the Agreement to Provide Law Enforcement Services Between the City and Santa Barbara County Sheriff's Department

Recommendation: Adopt Resolution No 5312, authorizing changes to the Staffing Plan of the Agreement to Provide Law Enforcement Services; and Authorize the City Manager to sign the draft letter to the Sheriff's Department regarding the change to the Staffing Plan, suspension of the Community Resource Deputy position, and extension of the Agreement.

Dave Durlfonger, City Manager, presented the staff report. He noted that the two Deputy Sheriff Patrol positions in the contract should indicate Senior Deputies.

Councilmember Carty commented that during the Strategic Planning Workshop a community member brought up some concerns regarding community relations between the Sheriff's Department and the community and stated that he believed the contract should include language regarding working on a more friendly relationship between the Sheriff's Department and the community. Councilmember Reddington suggested sensitivity training. Vice Mayor Stein suggested that public relations should be more open and positive. Mayor Clark noted that a section in the contract addressed a community relations program and that he believed that language did not fully address the Council's concerns as it relies on the Community Resource Officer to implement it. He suggested moving forward with the contract and to explore revisiting the community relations program aspect of the contract. Councilmember Carty commented that the way he understood it was to explore ways to get to know the officers better in the community, such as an Officer of the Month program.

City Manager Dave Durflinger responded to the discussion regarding a community relations program by the Sheriff's Department by stating that this had been implemented into the contract not only for the Community Resource Deputy but for the agency as a whole. He noted that there were choices to be made and that the Community Resource Deputy position would be eliminated from the contract. He suggested the possibility of postponing and reassessing the contract as he believed there was another opportunity to allow the contract to move forward and be renewed. He suggested that the contract could address specific activities that Councilmember Carty brought up that the Sheriff's Department and the City might engage in in order to communicate between law enforcement officers and the public to develop and maintain good relationships. He also suggested that another way to approach the implementation of the contract would be to review the plan, have a mid-year presentation, and consider the tools that are used or that might be used in order to address maintaining community relations with the public.

Kelly Moore, Chief Lieutenant, addressed public relations issues and stated that sometimes they become a bit focused on having a particular person perform particular tasks. He noted that the Sheriff's Department currently had the luxury with the Community Resource Officer who is directed at improving and addressing community relations issues. He also noted that sometimes law enforcement becomes so focused on enforcing the laws, protecting the public, and serving the public and that when confronted with a situation they do not like to do such matters as public relations. He stated that the Carpinteria Sheriff's Station was working to improve its image; however, they were in the process of eliminating the Community Resource Deputy position whose job was to address community relations issues. He further stated that the community would see an improvement with the line deputies because they would be forced to out to the community and integrate some of the duties that were taken up by the Community Resource Deputy such as a Neighborhood Watch Program and CERT. He responded to a question regarding whether the Sheriff's Department would consider a Cops Community Picnic by stating that while he was not opposed to this suggestion logistically it would be difficult because there would only be a certain number of people available and he might need to pay them overtime; however, he could explore and see if this would work.

Councilmember Armendariz expressed concern that the City would be taking away resources from the Deputy Sheriffs and then expect them to put a smile on their faces as they do their job. He stated that the Deputy Sheriffs put their lives on the line and they would be unable to do their best because the City Council would be making a policy decision based on fiscal and economic reality. He expressed concern that because of fiscal decisions made by the County Sheriff's Department that its staff would be forced to do more with less. He stated that the City had the ability to generate revenue in the community to fully fund public safety infrastructure except for the political will that pushes back. He further stated that he would not support this change in the contract because he believed the City has resources to fully fund the Sheriff's contract in FY 2010-11. He further stated that he believed the City was gambling with the public

safety of the community and that he respected Lieutenant Moore for coming forward to give the best alternatives under the circumstances.

Vice Mayor Stein expressed his support of the amended contract. He stated that he was not gambling with the public safety of Carpinteria as the City would still be adequately covered. He further stated that he did not like cutting back the Sheriff's Department; however, the Sheriff's Department was increasing its fees to nearly 11 percent when everybody else was cutting pay and cutting back. He suggested that when the economy improves that the City could fund additional public safety personnel.

Councilmember Reddington stated that cutting the services was difficult and that she hoped the decrease in the Parking Development Impact Fees would bring more businesses, people could purchase homes, and the City could restore the services as soon as possible. She expressed her support because it was the best for the community at this point in time and that she hoped that the City could restore the services in the future.

Councilmember Carty expressed his support of the changes and stated that he was confident the Sheriff's Department would continue to do an excellent job in Carpinteria.

Mayor Clark stated that the changes made to the contract were very well thought out and he believed the City would have an adequate level of service. He further stated that he did not see that the City would be gambling or sacrificing public safety and that the City would accomplish its budget goals.

Motion by Vice Mayor Stein, seconded by Councilmember Reddington, to adopt Resolution No 5312 entitled, A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CARPINTERIA AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT OF THE AGREEMENT TO PROVIDE LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES; and Authorize the City Manager to sign a draft letter to the Sheriff's Department regarding the change to the Staffing Plan, suspension of the Community Resource Deputy position, and extension of the Agreement.

Ayes: Stein, Carty, Reddington, Clark

Noes: Armendariz

Abstain: None

Motion carried.

COMMITTEE REPORTS, INQUIRIES AND OTHER MATTERS PRESENTED BY COUNCILMEMBERS

Councilmember Reddington stated that she hoped the idea of pedestals would be brought back in regards to the IPM policy for gopher management, and that the City consider purchasing these so that the raptors can seek bait and the City could eliminate the use of gopher bombs.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 8:04 p.m. by Mayor Clark.

Al Clark, Mayor

ATTEST:

Fidela Garcia, CMC
City Clerk